

**QUARTERLY REPORT: ADOPTION OF INTERNAL AUDIT FUNDAMENTAL
RECOMMENDATIONS AND SUMMARY OF ASSURANCE 1 APRIL TO 30 JUNE 2011**

Submitted by: **Audit Manager**

Portfolio **Resources and Efficiency**

Ward(s) affected **All**

Purpose of the Report

To report on any outstanding high risk recommendations to the Audit and Risk Committee on a quarterly basis and where necessary to request Members' approval to the Executive Directors requested actions in respect of the recommendations and proposed target dates.

To provide Members with an assurance opinion on internal controls over Council Services.

Recommendation

That the action of your officers and levels of assurance be noted.

Reasons

High risk recommendations are those agreed with management that are key controls in providing assurance as to the efficiency and effectiveness of the system, service or process under review. By agreeing to prolong target dates Members are accepting the risk of not implementing the control. Delayed implementation of such controls should be challenged to identify reasons behind this and solutions to the delay. Delays may be a result of external or internal influences, lack of resources or inertia. Such delays in the implementation of recommendations will affect the assurance opinion provided on each Service.

1. Background

- 1.1 High risk recommendations are those where action is considered imperative to ensure that the authority is not exposed to high risks and to do this it needs to be implemented within 1 month of the recommendation being agreed with managers.
- 1.2 Recommendations are reported to committee on an exception basis, i.e. reports where high risk recommendations have been followed up with Managers on more than two occasions are brought to the attention of Members. In addition the Chair and Vice Chair receive exception reports quarterly where high risk recommendations have been followed up with Managers after the initial implementation date has expired.
- 1.3 With the production of the Annual Governance Statement in conjunction with the Statement of Accounts the follow up and implementation of recommendations is increasingly important, since they provide both officers and Members with assurance as to the effectiveness of key internal control.
- 1.4 Assurance is provided on an annual basis as part of the Annual Report on the Internal Audit Service. It is now also provided to each Executive Director on a monthly basis, based on the number of recommendations that have been implemented, and where the target date has been changed more than twice on either medium or high risk recommendations.

2. **Issues**

- 2.1 In the first quarter there were fifteen high risk recommendations were due for review, eight of these were at their first follow up date and therefore no further action is required at this stage. There were seven recommendations that had received a first target date change and these were referred separately to the Chair and Vice Chair of the Committee.
- 2.2 A copy of the Assurance Summary for June 2011 can be found at **Appendix A**.
- 2.3 Three Directorates are showing Limited Assurance at the end of the first quarter, whilst Regeneration and Development Services have an Assurance Opinion of Substantial. Given these results at the end of the first quarter there are no issues or concerns in relation to any outstanding recommendations within any of the Directorates.

3. **Reasons for Preferred Solution**

- 3.1 Reasons for each Director proposal are specific to the actions required.

4. **Outcomes Linked to Sustainable Community Strategy and Corporate Priorities**

- 4.1 The systems, services and processes reviewed by Internal Audit link to and support the four priority themes of the Council, by reviewing these Audit is making the best use of the Council's resources and improving efficiency and this is further reinforced by managers as they implement the recommendations made.

5. **Legal and Statutory Implications**

- 5.1 The Accounts and Audit Regulations 2010 require the Council to 'maintain an adequate and effective system of internal audit of its accounting records and of its system of internal control in accordance with the proper internal audit practices'.

6. **Equality Impact Assessment**

- 6.1 There are no differential equality impact issues identified from this proposal.

7. **Financial and Resource Implications**

- 7.1 The majority of recommendations are met within existing resources; where additional resources are required these will form part of a separate report.

8. **Major Risks**

- 8.1 The role of Internal Audit is to provide management with an objective assessment of whether systems and controls are working properly. High Risk Recommendations identify areas where action is required in order to avoid exposure to risk. If managers fail to act upon fundamental audit recommendations assurance cannot be given on the adequacy of the systems of internal control.

9. **Key Decision Information**

Not applicable

10. **Earlier Cabinet/Committee Resolutions**

10.1 Where fundamental recommendations show a target date change; this identifies the number of times the recommendation has been referred back to Executive Management Team and to Members for consideration of the risks prior to agreeing an extended implementation date or other action.

11. **List of Appendices**

Appendix A – Audit Recommendations Summary of Assurance for June 2011

12. **Background Papers**

Internal Audit PI and Assurances file